

GENERATING FUNCTIONS OF ORBIFOLD CHERN CLASSES I: SYMMETRIC PRODUCTS

TORU OHMOTO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, for a possibly singular complex variety X , generating functions of *total orbifold Chern homology classes* of the symmetric products $S^n X$ are given. Those are very natural “class versions” of known generating function formulae of (generalized) orbifold Euler characteristics of $S^n X$. The classes work covariantly for proper morphisms. We state the result more generally. Let G be a finite group and G_n the wreath product $G \sim S_n$. For a G -variety X and a group A , we give a “Dey-Wohlfahrt type formula” for *equivariant Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson classes* associated to G_n -representations of A (Theorem 1.1 and 1.2). In particular, if X is a point, this recovers a known exponential formula for counting numbers $|\mathrm{Hom}(A, G_n)|$.

1. INTRODUCTION

For a quotient variety of a complex algebraic variety X with an action of a finite group G , the Euler characteristic and physicists’ one have been well-known:

$$\chi(X/G) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(X^g), \quad \chi^{orb}(X; G) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{gh=hg} \chi(X^{h,g}),$$

where X^g is the set of fixed points of g and $X^{h,g} := X^h \cap X^g$, and the second sum runs over all pairs $(h, g) \in G \times G$ such that $gh = hg$ (we may deal with non-compact varieties (but locally compact), so then $\chi(\cdot)$ means the Euler characteristics for Borel-Moore homology groups or cohomology groups with compact supports in analytic topology). Further, Bryan-Fulman [5] and Tamanoi [19] have introduced a generalization, that is, *orbifold Euler characteristics* $\chi_m(X; G)$ associated to mutually commuting m -tuples; $\chi = \chi_1$, $\chi^{orb} = \chi_2$. The aim of this paper is to generalize those kinds of characteristic numbers to certain “equivariant Chern classes” [15] in connection with *classical enumerative problems in group theory* ([21], [22], [23]). In particular, we focus on the case of symmetric products. We also mention a bit about an algebro-geometric aspect relating to crepant resolutions (Remark 2.4).

To begin with, let us recall Chern homology classes of singular varieties X (MacPherson [13], Schwartz [16]). Let $\mathcal{F}(X)$ be the abelian group of constructible functions over X and $H_*(X)$ the Borel-Moore homology group: $\mathcal{F}$ and H_* are covariant functors. It is proved in [13] that there is a unique

Partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No.17340013), JSPS.

natural transformation $C_* : \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow H_*(X)$ such that if X is nonsingular, then $C_*(\mathbb{1}_X) = c(TX) \smile [X]$. Here $\mathbb{1}_X$ is the constant function 1 over X and $c(TX)$ is the total Chern (cohomology) class of the tangent bundle. The total homology class $C_*(X) := C_*(\mathbb{1}_X)$ is called *the Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson class* of X . In particular, its 0-dimensional component (the degree) coincides with $\chi(X)$ for compact varieties X . We remark that the Chern class theory is available also in a purely algebraic context over a field of characteristic 0 (Kennedy [9]), where the homology is replaced by the Chow group $A_*(X)$.

Based on the above theory C_* , the author [15] introduced *the equivariant Chern-MacPherson transformation* C_*^G for possibly singular varieties with actions of an algebraic group G , that will be reviewed in §2.

A particular interest arises in the n -th symmetric product $S^n X$ of a possibly singular complex variety X , that is, the quotient of $X^n = X \times \cdots \times X$ (n times) via the n -th symmetric group S_n with the action permuting the factors. There is a well-known formula due to Macdonald [12]:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi(S^n X) z^n = (1 - z)^{-\chi(X)}. \quad (1)$$

We realize the ‘‘Chern class version’’ of the formula (1) in $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n H_*(S^n X; \mathbb{Q})$ (formal power series whose coefficients are total homology classes), which becomes a commutative and associative graded ring with the cross product multiplication $\odot$ (see §3):

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_*(S^n X) z^n = (1 - zD)^{-C_*(X)}. \quad (2)$$

Here D is the notation indicating *diagonal operators*: Its ‘‘ n -th power’’ D^n means the homomorphism of homology groups induced by the diagonal embedding $\Delta^n : X \rightarrow \Delta X^n \subset X^n$. As our convention (see Subsection 3.3), we let $(1 - zD)^{-c}$ denote

$$\exp(-\text{Log}(1 - zD)(c)) = \exp(zD(c)) \odot \exp\left(\frac{z^2 D^2(c)}{2}\right) \odot \cdots.$$

The ‘‘0-dimensional part’’ of (2), that is, the power series whose coefficients are the 0-dimensional parts of the total classes, gives (1). The formula next to (2) is

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_*^{orb}(S^n X) z^n = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - z^k D^k)^{-C_*(X)} \quad (3)$$

whose 0-dimensional part coincides with the known generating function of $\chi^{orb}(X^n; S_n)$ (cf. Hirzebruch-Höfer [8]). Here C_*^{orb} means our simplest orbifold Chern class (Example 2.3), whose degree is just χ^{orb} . In fact, more generally, given a group A we introduce *the canonical orbifold Chern classes associated to group representations of A* (Definition 2.2). It is defined by the (equivariant) C_* -image of a ‘canonical’ constructible function assigning to

each point (as of the quotient stack) the number of representations of A into its stabilizer group. For the symmetric product case, the class is denoted by $C_*^{S_n}(\mathbb{1}_{X^n/S_n}^{(A)})$. We then show the following “Dey-Wohlfahrt formula”, which gives the above (2) and (3) as typical examples when $A = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}^2$ respectively. For any positive integer r , let $\Omega_A(r)$ (resp. Ω_A) denote the set of all subgroups B of index $|A : B| = r$ (resp. subgroups of finite index) and $j_r(A) := |\Omega_A(r)|$, the number of the subgroups of index r .

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $j_r(A) < \infty$ for any r . Then it holds that*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_*^{S_n}(\mathbb{1}_{X^n/S_n}^{(A)}) z^n = \exp \left(\sum_{B \in \Omega_A} \frac{1}{|A : B|} (zD)^{|A:B|} C_*(\mathbb{1}_X) \right).$$

When $X = pt$, this theorem gives the enumerative formula for numbers $\frac{1}{|S_n|} |\text{Hom}(A, S_n)|$ ([21]; [18], Prob. 5.13, [22]). In case that $A = \mathbb{Z}^m$, this extends the generating functions for $\chi_m(X^n; S_n)$ given in [5] and [19] (Examples 3.10, 4.4).

There is a certain G -version of the above theorem. For simplicity, we assume that G is a finite group, and let X be a G -variety. We denote by $G_n (= G \sim S_n)$ the wreath product. G_n acts on X^n in an obvious way.

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a finite group, and A a group so that $j_r(A) < \infty$ and $|\text{Hom}(B, G)| < \infty$ for any subgroup B of finite index. Then it holds that*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_*^{G_n}(\mathbb{1}_{X^n/G_n}^{(A)}) z^n = \exp \left(\sum_{B \in \Omega_A} \frac{1}{|A : B|} (zD)^{|A:B|} C_*^G(\mathbb{1}_{X/G}^{(B)}) \right).$$

Obviously, when $G = \{e\}$, this coincides with Theorem 1.1. Taking $X = pt$ again, this formula coincides with an exponential formula (Müller [14]) for numbers of G_n -representations (Remark 4.3).

We end this introduction by a few remarks (see Remark 2.4 below). As known, Batyrev [3] showed that $\chi^{orb}(X; G)$ coincides with his *stringy Euler number* of the quotient variety and thus it equals the Euler characteristics of any crepant resolution, e.g., $\chi^{orb}(X^n, S_n) = \chi(\text{Hilb}^n X)$ for a smooth surface X , [8]. An analogue for C_*^{orb} holds by passing through *the stringy Chern class* in de Fernex-Lupercio-Nevins-Urbe [7] and Aluffi [1], and therefore, for instance, the above formula (3) relates to a recent work of Boissière [4] on Chern classes of $\text{Hilb}^n X$ for a smooth surface.

Futhermore, also related with stringy class invariants, a unified theory of (additive) characteristic classes for singular varieties, *the Hirzebruch class* (and *the motivic Chern class*), has appeared in Brasselet-Shürmann-Yokura [2] ([17] for a survey). It is a natural transformation T_{y*} from *the relative Grothendieck ring* $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}}/X)$ (or $\hat{\mathcal{M}}(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}}/X)$) to $H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y]$,

which unifies Chern-MacPherson's transform C_* ($y = 0$), Baum-Fulton-MacPherson's Todd class transform ($y = -1$) and Cappell-Shaneson's L -class transform ($y = 1$). Probably, based on our construction this transformation may produce variants of above type generating function formulas for other characteristic classes.

2. REVIEW ON EQUIVARIANT CHERN CLASSES

2.1. Natural transformation. Let G be a complex linear algebraic group, and X a complex algebraic variety with an algebraic action of G . We always assume that X is G -embeddable, that is, it admits a closed equivariant embedding into a G -nonsingular variety (working in the context over arbitrary base field k of characteristic 0, we assume X is a quasi-projective variety with a linearized action). As a remark, the "quotient" X/G is no longer a variety in general but is a *quotient stack*.

A constructible function over X is a function $\alpha : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ which is written (uniquely) as a finite sum $\alpha = \sum a_i \mathbb{1}_{W_i}$ for some subvarieties W_i in X and $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\mathbb{1}_W$ means the characteristic function taking value 1 over W and 0 otherwise (After §3, we will consider only the case of rational coefficients, $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$). The integral of α over X is given by $\int_X \alpha := \sum a_i \chi(W_i)$. Let $\mathcal{F}(X)$ be the group of constructible functions over X and $\mathcal{F}_{inv}^G(X)$ the subgroup consisting of all G -invariant constructible functions ($\alpha(g.x) = \alpha(x)$ for any $g \in G, x \in X$). By definition any invariant function is uniquely expressed by a linear combination $\mathbb{1}_{W_i}$ for some invariant reduced schemes W_i . For a proper G -equivariant morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$, we define the push-forward $f_* : \mathcal{F}_{inv}^G(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{inv}^G(Y)$ by $f_*(\alpha)(y) := \sum a_i \chi(W_i \cap f^{-1}(y))$ for $y \in Y$. Given another proper G -morphism $g : Y \rightarrow Z$, $g_* \circ f_* = (g \circ f)_*$ holds.

The G -equivariant (Chow) homology group $H_*^G(X)$ ($A_*^G(X)$) is defined by Totaro [20], Edidin-Graham [6] using an algebraic version of the Borel construction. It satisfies naturally expected properties, for instance, it admits the equivariant fundamental class $[X]_G \in H_{2n}^G(X)$ ($n = \dim X$) so that $\cap [X]_G : H_G^i(X) \rightarrow H_{2n-i}^G(X)$ is isomorphic if X is nonsingular. Throughout, we deal only with G -invariant cycles in X (i.e., of non-negative dimension), not general " G -equivariant" cycles.

Both of $\mathcal{F}_{inv}^G$ and H_*^G become covariant functors for the category of complex G -varieties and proper G -morphisms. Here we state the main theorem in [15] but in a bit weaker form:

Theorem 2.1. (Equivariant MacPherson's transformation [15]) *There is a natural transformation between these covariant functors*

$$C_*^G : \mathcal{F}_{inv}^G(X) \rightarrow H_*^G(X)$$

so that if X is non-singular, then $C_*^G(\mathbb{1}_X) = c^G(TX) \cap [X]_G$ where $c^G(TX)$ is G -equivariant total Chern class of the tangent bundle of X .

The following elementary properties are easily checked:

- (i) *Trivial action:* If G acts trivially on X , C_*^G coincides with C_* [13].
- (ii) *Degree:* When X is projective and irreducible, taking the pointed map $pt : X \rightarrow \{pt\}$, the degree of $C_*(\alpha)$ is defined to be $pt_* C_*^G(\alpha) \in H_0^G(pt) = \mathbb{Z}$, that equals $\int_X \alpha$. In particular, the degree of $C_*^G(\mathbb{1}_X)$ is $\chi(X)$ and its top component is $[X]_G$.
- (iii) *Quotient:* There is a homomorphism $i^* : H_*^G(X) \rightarrow H_*(X)$ induced by the inclusion i of X onto a fibre of the universal bundle $X \times_G EG \rightarrow BG$ (see [6], [15]). If X/G is a variety, the pushforward induced by the projection $\pi : X \rightarrow X/G$ makes sense (we denote also by π_* the composition $\mathcal{F}_{inv}^G(X) \subset \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X/G)$), and then the diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_{inv}^G(X) & \xrightarrow{C_*^G} & H_*^G(X) \\ \pi_* \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_* \circ i^* \\ \mathcal{F}(X/G) & \xrightarrow{C_*} & H_*(X/G) \end{array}$$

If G is a finite group, the right-sided vertical map $\pi_* \circ i^*$ is an isomorphism within rational coefficients $\mathbb{Q}$ (see [6], Thm. 3).

- (iv) *Change of groups:* Let H be a subgroup of G with $\dim G/H = k$. Then, natural isomorphisms ϕ_F and ϕ_H are defined in an obvious way so that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_{inv}^H(X) & \xrightarrow{C_*^H} & H_{2n-*}^H(X) \\ \phi_F \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \phi_H \\ \mathcal{F}_{inv}^G(X \times_H G) & \xrightarrow{C_*^G} & H_{2(n+k)-*}^G(X \times_H G) \end{array}$$

- (v) *Cross product:* The equivariant homology admits *the cross (exterior) product* ([6]). The exterior product of equivariant constructible functions α and β of X and Y , respectively, is given by $\alpha \times \beta(x, y) := \alpha(x) \cdot \beta(y)$. It holds as same as the ordinary case ([10]) that

$$C_*^G(\alpha \times \beta) = C_*^G(\alpha) \times C_*^G(\beta).$$

2.2. Canonical Chern classes. For simplicity we assume that G is a finite group. Let A be a group so that $\text{Hom}(A, G)$ is a finite set. For any G -representation $\rho \in \text{Hom}(A, G)$, we set $X^{\rho(A)} := \bigcap_{g \in \rho(A)} X^g$ in a set-theoretic sense, more precisely, $X^{\rho(A)}$ is the reduced scheme (or the underlying reduced analytic space) of the fixed point set of the action of $\rho(A)$ on X .

Definition 2.2. We define *the canonical constructible functions of a G -variety X associated to a group A* by

$$\mathbb{1}_{X/G}^{(A)} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\rho} \mathbb{1}_{X^{\rho(A)}} \in \mathcal{F}_{inv}^G(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

the sum being taken over all $\rho \in \text{Hom}(A, G)$. We call the class $C_*^G(\mathbb{1}_{X/G}^{(A)}) \in H_*^G(X; \mathbb{Q})$ the *canonical quotient (orbifold) Chern classes of X associated to A* (we use the word “orbifold” when X/G is a variety).

For $x \in X$, the value $\mathbb{1}_{X/G}^{(A)}(x)$ equals $\frac{1}{|G|} |\text{Hom}(A, \text{Stab}_G(x))|$ (hence its G -invariance is clear). So the canonical constructible function measures by using a fixed group A about how ‘large’ each automorphism group is.

Example 2.3. In a typical case that $A = \mathbb{Z}^m$, we simply denote the associated function by $\mathbb{1}_{X/G}^{(m)}$, called the *m -th canonical function*.

Since a representation $\mathbb{Z}^m \rightarrow G$ uniquely corresponds to a mutually commuting m -tuple $(g_1, \dots, g_m)$ of G , the integral over X (=degree of its canonical Chern class) coincides with the definition of the orbifold Euler characteristics given in [5], [19]:

$$\int_X \mathbb{1}_{X/G}^{(m)} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum \chi(X^{g_1, \dots, g_m}) =: \chi_m(X; G).$$

Let $\mathcal{X} := X/G$ be a variety. As for pushforward via $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, it is straightforward that $\pi_*(\mathbb{1}_{X/G}^{(1)}) = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{X}}$: for any $[x] \in \mathcal{X}$

$$\pi_*(\mathbb{1}_{X/G}^{(1)})([x]) = \int_{G \cdot x} \mathbb{1}_{X/G}^{(1)} = |G \cdot x| \frac{|\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \text{Stab}_G(x))|}{|G|} = 1.$$

Hence $C_*^G(\mathbb{1}_{X/G}^{(1)})$ is identified with the ordinary Chern-SM class $C_*(\mathcal{X})$ of the quotient variety through $\pi_* i^*$ in property (iii) within rational coefficients. Furthermore, we put

$$C_*^{\text{orb}}(\mathcal{X}) := \pi_* i^* C_*^G(\mathbb{1}_{X/G}^{(2)}) \in H_*(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Q}).$$

We decompose $\pi_* \mathbb{1}_{X/G}^{(2)}$ in the exactly same way as [8] ([7]) and obtain an alternative expression

$$C_*^{\text{orb}}(\mathcal{X}) = \sum (\iota_g)_* C_*(X^g/C(g)),$$

where the sum runs over the set of all conjugacy classes in G , g is a representative in each conjugacy class, $C(g)$ is the centralizer of g , and $\iota_g : X^g/C(g) \rightarrow X/G$ is the canonical inclusion.

Remark 2.4. We give a short remark about a connection with resolutions. A further account will be discussed somewhere else.

For normal varieties with “tame” singularities the *stringy Chern class* c_{str} has been introduced by de Fernex et al [7] and Aluffi [1] (also see [2]). Roughly, it is defined by the C_* -image of a constructible function coming from a relative motivic integration associated to resolutions of singularities. Assume that the quotient variety $\mathcal{X} = X/G$ (X being smooth) admits a crepant resolution $f : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. It then follows from [7] (Thm. 0.2 and Thm.4.4) that

$$f_*(C_*(\mathbb{1}_Y)) = c_{\text{str}}(\mathcal{X}) = C_*^{\text{orb}}(\mathcal{X}) \quad (\text{i.e., } f_*(\mathbb{1}_Y) = \pi_* \mathbb{1}_{X/G}^{(2)}).$$

A naive question is to ask if our orbifold Chern class associated to a group A has a similar property, e.g., if $\pi_* \mathbb{I}_{X/G}^{(A)}$ coincides with the f_* -image of some some distinguished constructible function on Y .

Let X be a smooth surface. It is well known that the Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}^n(X)$ is smooth and the Hilbert-Chow morphism $\pi^n : \text{Hilb}^n(X) \rightarrow S^n X$ becomes a crepant resolution. Recently, a generating function of total Chern classes of the tangent bundle $T\text{Hilb}^n(X)$ was given by Boissière [4] (Prop. 3.12) using vertex algebras tools in [11]. Since $(\pi^n)_*(C_*(\text{Hilb}^n(X))) = C_*^{\text{orb}}(S^n X)$ just as mentioned, the formula (3) in Introduction must be the image of the generating function formula via $(\pi^n)_*$ ($n \geq 0$) (and the Poincaré dual). This may suggest a direct connection between our formulae and exponential formulae in vertex algebras. From our equivariant viewpoint, Fulton-MacPherson compactifications of configuration spaces (which has S_n -actions) should be interesting.

3. SYMMETRIC PRODUCTS

3.1. Formal power series. We work on symmetric products, that is the quotient via the action of S_n on the cartesian product X^n of a complex variety X , $\sigma(x_1, \dots, x_n) := (x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})$. From now on, we deal with $\mathcal{F}$ and H_* with rational coefficients and omit the notation $\otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

The product $\odot : \mathcal{F}_{\text{inv}}^{S_m}(X^m) \times \mathcal{F}_{\text{inv}}^{S_n}(X^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\text{inv}}^{S_{m+n}}(X^{m+n})$ is defined to be

$$\alpha \odot \beta := \frac{1}{|S_{m+n}|} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+n}} \sigma_*(\alpha \times \beta),$$

where σ_* is the pushforward induced by $\sigma : X^{m+n} \rightarrow X^{m+n}$. Also for homologies, $\odot$ is defined in the same manner. This yields commutative and associative graded $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebras of formal power series

$$\mathcal{F}_{X, \text{sym}}[[z]] := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \mathcal{F}_{\text{inv}}^{S_n}(X^n), \quad H_{X, \text{sym}}[[z]] := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n H_{2*}^{S_n}(X^n).$$

We denote $\alpha \odot \dots \odot \alpha$ (c times) by α^c or $\alpha^{\odot c}$.

For a proper morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$, the n -th cartesian product $f^n : X^n \rightarrow Y^n$ is a S_n -equivariant map, and it hence induces

$$f_*^{\text{sym}} : \mathcal{F}_{X, \text{sym}}[[z]] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{Y, \text{sym}}[[z]], \quad f_*^{\text{sym}}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n z^n\right) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_*^n \alpha_n z^n.$$

as well the homology case. It is easy to see that f_*^{sym} is a homomorphism of algebras (it preserves the multiplication).

By definition, when $X = pt$, $\mathcal{F}_{pt, \text{sym}}[[z]]$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}[[z]]$, the ring of formal power series with rational coefficients. The integral of a power series of constructible functions is defined by

$$\int : \mathcal{F}_{X, \text{sym}}[[z]] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[[z]], \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n z^n \mapsto \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{X^n} \alpha_n \right) z^n.$$

We also define

$$C_*^{sym} : \mathcal{F}_{X,sym}[[z]] \rightarrow H_{X,sym}[[z]], \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n z^n \mapsto \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_*^{S_n}(\alpha_n) z^n.$$

Theorem 3.1. C_*^{sym} is a natural transformation between the covariant functors assigning to X the $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebras $\mathcal{F}_{X,sym}[[z]]$ and $H_{X,sym}[[z]]$.

Proof. We show that

$$C_*^{S_m}(\alpha) \odot C_*^{S_n}(\beta) = C_*^{S_{m+n}}(\alpha \odot \beta). \quad (4)$$

Put $G = S_{m+n}$ and $H = S_m \times S_n$. H acts on X^m , X^n , X^{m+n} and (the left action) on G , then by (iv), $\mathcal{F}_{inv}^H(X^m) = \mathcal{F}_{inv}^{S_m}(X^m)$ and $\phi_F : \mathcal{F}_{inv}^H(X^{m+n}) \simeq \mathcal{F}_{inv}^G(X^{m+n} \times_H G)$. Let $p : X^{m+n} \times_H G \rightarrow X^{m+n}$ be the natural projection given by $p([x, a]_H) := a^{-1}.x$, which is well-defined and G -equivariant (the action of the mixed space is given by $g.[x, a]_H := [x, ag^{-1}]_H$). We denote by τ_F the following composed homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{inv}^H(X^m) \otimes \mathcal{F}_{inv}^H(X^n) &\xrightarrow{\times} \mathcal{F}_{inv}^H(X^{m+n}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\phi_F} \mathcal{F}_{inv}^G(X^{m+n} \times_H G) \xrightarrow{p_*} \mathcal{F}_{inv}^G(X^{m+n}). \end{aligned}$$

For homology we have τ_H in the same way. Those τ_F and τ_H actually coincide with the $\odot$ -products up to a scalar multiple: in fact, for $x \in X^{m+n}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_F(\alpha, \beta)(x) &= \int_{p^{-1}(x)} \phi_F(\alpha \times \beta) = \sum_{[g] \in H \backslash G} \phi_F(\alpha \times \beta)([g.x, g]_H) \\ &= \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{g \in G} (\alpha \times \beta)(g.x) = \frac{(m+n)!}{m!n!} (\alpha \odot \beta)(x), \end{aligned}$$

the homology case as well. By properties (v), (iv) and the naturality, we see

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_H(C_*^H(\alpha), C_*^H(\beta)) &= p_* \circ \phi_H(C_*^H(\alpha) \times C_*^H(\beta)) = p_* \circ \phi_H \circ C_*^H(\alpha \times \beta) \\ &= p_* \circ C_*^G \circ \phi_F(\alpha \times \beta) = C_*^G \circ p_* \circ \phi_F(\alpha \times \beta) = C_*^G \circ \tau_F(\alpha, \beta). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the equality (4) is proved. This shows that C_*^{sym} is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra homomorphism. Furthermore, C_*^{sym} satisfies the naturality: $f_*^{sym} \circ C_*^{sym} = C_*^{sym} \circ f_*^{sym}$ for any proper morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$, that immediately follows from $f_*^n \circ C_*^{S_n} = C_*^{S_n} \circ f_*^n$ for any n . Thus C_*^{sym} is a natural transformation. $\square$

Remark 3.2. (The degree) For $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_{X,sym}[[z]]$, the integral $\int \alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[[z]]$ is equal to the 0-th degree of $C_0^{sym}(\alpha)$, that is the value of pushforward $pt_*^{sym} C_*^{sym}(\alpha)$ induced by $pt : X \rightarrow \{pt\}$.

Remark 3.3. (Nonsingular case) Set $H^{X,sym}[[z]] := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n H_{S_n}^*(X^n)$. The multiplication $\odot$ is given as $\omega \odot \omega' := \frac{1}{|S_{m+n}|} \cdot \sum \sigma^*(p_1^* \omega \cup p_2^* \omega')$, where p_1 and p_2 are projections of $X^{m+n} = X^m \times X^n$ to the factors. For nonsingular X , the Poincaré duality for all n give $\mathcal{P} : H^{X,sym}[[z]] \simeq H_{X,sym}[[z]]$ preserving

the multiplications. Given a proper morphism $X \rightarrow Y$ between nonsingular varieties, the Gysin homomorphism $f_!^{sym} = \mathcal{P}^{-1} \circ f_*^{sym} \circ \mathcal{P}$ is defined.

3.2. Cycle types. A collection $\mathbf{c} = [c_1, \dots, c_n]$ of non-negative integers satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^n ic_i = n$ is called a *cycle type of weight n* . We associate to a cycle type $\mathbf{c}$ three numbers, *weight*, *length* and *cardinality*, respectively,

$$|\mathbf{c}| := \sum_{i=1}^n ic_i = n, \quad l(\mathbf{c}) := \sum_{i=1}^n c_i, \quad \sharp \mathbf{c} := \frac{n!}{1^{c_1} c_1! 2^{c_2} c_2! \dots n^{c_n} c_n!}.$$

A representation $\rho : A \rightarrow S_n$ causes the orbit-decomposition of the n points $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. We say that ρ is of *type $\mathbf{c} = [c_1, \dots, c_n]$* if the decomposition consists of c_k subsets (orbits) having exactly k points. For $\mathbf{c}$ of weight n , let $\text{Hom}(A, S_n; \mathbf{c})$ denote the set of S_n -representations of type $\mathbf{c}$.

For instance, $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, S_n; \mathbf{c})$ is the set of permutations of type $\mathbf{c}$, i.e. a conjugacy class in S_n ; it consists of $\sharp \mathbf{c}$ elements.

Recall that $\mathbb{I}_{X^n/S_n}^{(A)} := \frac{1}{n!} \sum \mathbb{I}_{(X^n)^{\rho(A)}}$ taken over all S_n -representations ρ and $j_r(A)$ denotes the number of subgroups with index r . We denote by $\mathbb{I}_{\Delta X^n} (\in F_{inv}^{S_n}(X^n))$ the characteristic function of the diagonal $\Delta X^n \subset X^n$.

Lemma 3.4. *The canonical function $\mathbb{I}_{X^n/S_n}^{(A)}$ is equal to the sum*

$$\sum_{|\mathbf{c}|=n} \frac{\sharp[c_1, \dots, c_n]}{n!} \cdot (j_1(A) \cdot \mathbb{I}_{\Delta X})^{c_1} \odot \dots \odot (j_n(A) \cdot \mathbb{I}_{\Delta X^n})^{c_n},$$

taken over all cycle types of weight n .

Proof. This is elementary. Set $\theta_r := \sum \mathbb{I}_{(X^r)^{\tau(A)}}$ taken over all transitive actions τ of A on r points $\{1, \dots, r\}$. It is enough to show the following equalities (summing up (2) over all $\mathbf{c}$ completes the proof):

$$\theta_r = (r-1)! j_r(A) \cdot \mathbb{I}_{\Delta X^r} \tag{1}$$

$$\sum_{\text{Hom}(A, S_n; \mathbf{c})} \mathbb{I}_{(X^n)^{\rho(A)}} = \frac{n!}{\prod_{r=1}^n (r!)^{c_r} c_r!} \cdot (\theta_1)^{c_1} \odot \dots \odot (\theta_n)^{c_n}. \tag{2}$$

It is well known (cf., [18]) that the number of transitive actions of A on r points is given by $(r-1)! j_r(A)$ (in fact, given a subgroup B with index r , an order of proper cosets of A/B , say $A = B \cup B_2 \cup \dots \cup B_r$, determines a transitive action on r points so that elements in B_i send 1 to i). It follows from the transitivity that $\mathbb{I}_{(X^r)^{\tau(A)}} = \mathbb{I}_{\Delta X^r}$, thus (1) is proved. To show (2), note that every element ρ in $\text{Hom}(A, S_n; \mathbf{c})$ is uniquely obtained from a decomposition of n points into disjoint subsets according to the type $\mathbf{c}$ and a choice of a transitive action on each of the subsets. Then, for such ρ , there are exactly $\prod (r!)^{c_r} c_r! (= q)$ permutations σ such that $\mathbb{I}_{(X^n)^{\rho(A)}} = \sigma_*((\mathbb{I}_{\Delta X^1})^{\times c_1} \times \dots \times (\mathbb{I}_{\Delta X^n})^{\times c_n})$. By definition we see

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \sigma_*((\theta_1)^{\times c_1} \times \dots \times (\theta_n)^{\times c_n}) = n! \cdot (\theta_1)^{\odot c_1} \odot \dots \odot (\theta_n)^{\odot c_n},$$

which is just the sum of $\mathbb{1}_{(X^n)^{\rho(A)}}$ over all ρ of type $\mathbf{c}$ but taken account of q times for each ρ , thus (2) follows. $\square$

Remark 3.5. Let $u_d(A)$ denote the number of conjugacy classes of subgroups in A with index d . It holds ([18], Prob. 5.13) that

$$j_k(A \times \mathbb{Z}) = \sum_{d|k} d u_d(A).$$

In particular, if A is abelian, $u_d(A) = j_d(A)$ hence $j_k(A \times \mathbb{Z}) = \sum_{d|k} d j_d(A)$. Throughout let us write $j(m; k) := j_k(\mathbb{Z}^m)$ for short and set $j(0; 1) = 1$, $j(0; k) = 0$ ($k > 1$). Then by induction, $j(m; k) = \sum j_1^{m-1} j_2^{m-2} \cdots j_{m-1}$ the sum taken over all m -tuples of integers $(j_1, \dots, j_m)$ with $\prod_{i=1}^m j_i = k$.

3.3. Diagonal operators. The standard n -th diagonal operator D^n ($n = 0, 1, \dots$) is defined as the pushforward homomorphisms: $D^0 = 1$, $D^1 = D = id_*$ ($id : X \rightarrow X$) and $D^n := (\Delta^n)_*$

$$D^n : \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{inv}^{S_n}(X^n), \quad D^n : H_*(X) \rightarrow H_*^{S_n}(X^n),$$

where $\Delta^n : X \rightarrow X^n$ is the diagonal inclusion map, $\Delta^n(x) := (x, \dots, x)$, regarded to be S_n -equivariant (with the trivial action on X). We call a formal power series in zD , $U = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_n z^n D^n$, with rational coefficients a *standard formal diagonal operator*. The algebra consisting of standard formal diagonal operators is denoted by $\mathbb{Q}[[zD]]$ (as a convention, $zD = Dz$). We put $\mathbb{Q}[[zD]]^+ := (zD)\mathbb{Q}[[zD]]$ (consisting of U with zero constant term). There are canonically defined exponential and logarithmic functions: We denote them by $Exp(U)$ and $Log(1 + U)$ for $U \in \mathbb{Q}[[zD]]^+$.

The mixed n -th diagonal operator of type $\mathbf{c} = [c_1, \dots, c_n]$ means the maps

$$\mathcal{D}^{\mathbf{c}} : \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{inv}^{S_n}(X^n), \quad \mathcal{D}^{\mathbf{c}} : H_*(X) \rightarrow H_*^{S_n}(X^n),$$

given by

$$\mathcal{D}^{[c_1, \dots, c_n]}(\alpha) := (D^1(\alpha))^{c_1} \odot (D^2(\alpha))^{c_2} \odot \cdots \odot (D^n(\alpha))^{c_n},$$

where $(D^k(\alpha))^c$ is the c times multiple $(D^k)^{\odot c}$ on α , that is, $D^k(\alpha) \odot \cdots \odot D^k(\alpha)$. We also define a *formal diagonal operator* as a formal series $T = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n T_n$ of linear combinations $T_n = \sum_{|\mathbf{c}|=n} v_{\mathbf{c}} \mathcal{D}^{\mathbf{c}}$. All formal diagonal operators form a $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra with the multiplication $\odot$, which contains $\mathbb{Q}[[zD]]$ as a $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear subspace. Every formal operator T naturally induces maps $T : \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{X, sym}[[z]]$ and $T : H_*(X) \rightarrow H_{X, sym}[[z]]$, which are linear if and only if T is standard. By using $\odot$ we define $exp(T) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} T^{\odot n}$ for T with zero constant term, as well $log(1 + T)$. Obviously, $exp(T + T') = exp(T) \odot exp(T')$, etc. Since we use functions exp and Log only, the following notation would not cause any confusion:

Notation: $(1 + U)^{\alpha} := exp(Log(1 + U)(\alpha))$ for $U \in \mathbb{Q}[[zD]]^+$.

Remark 3.6. For instance, as formal operators, $(1 - zD)^{-\alpha} \neq (1 + zD + z^2 D^2 + \cdots)(\alpha) = Exp(-Log(1 - zD))(\alpha)$. When $X = pt$, $\mathcal{F}_{pt, sym}[[z]] =$

$H_{pt, sym}[[z]] = \mathbb{Q}[[z]]$, so T defines $\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[[z]]$ ($z^n v \mathcal{D}^{\mathbf{c}}(a) = v a^{l(\mathbf{c})} z^n$). In this case, if T is standard ($l(\mathbf{c}) = 1$) or $T = \exp(U)$ for a standard U , the letter D in T has no longer meaning. For instance, $(1 - zD)^a = (1 - z)^a$.

Proposition 3.7. *Let T be a formal diagonal operator. Then,*

- (1) *for a proper morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$, it holds that $T \circ f_* = f_*^{sym} \circ T$ in both cases of constructible functions and homologies. In particular, $\int$ and T commutes;*
- (2) *The following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(X) & \xrightarrow{C_*} & H_*(X) \\ T \downarrow & & \downarrow T \\ \mathcal{F}_{X, sym}[[z]] & \xrightarrow{C_*^{sym}} & H_{X, sym}[[z]] \end{array}$$

Proof. Obviously, $D^n \circ f_* = (f^n)_* \circ D^n$, thus (1) holds. Since S_n acts on X trivially, $C_*^{S_n}$ for X coincides with the ordinary $C_* : \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow H_*(X)$, and hence the naturality implies that $C_*^{S_n} \circ D^n = D^n \circ C_*^{S_n} = D^n \circ C_*$. Thus (2) follows. $\square$

Remark 3.8. We may denote as $T = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_n : \mathcal{F}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[[z]] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{X, sym}[[z]]$ (as well homologies): for $\varphi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n z^n$ ($\alpha_n \in \mathcal{F}(X)$),

$$\mathcal{D}^{\mathbf{c}}(\varphi(z)) := (D^1(\alpha_1))^{c_1} \odot \cdots \odot (D^n(\alpha_n))^{c_n} z^n.$$

For example, $\text{Log}(1 - zD)(\alpha) = -\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^r}{r} D^r(\alpha) = \text{Log}(1 - D)(\alpha \otimes \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} z^r)$. As in Proposition 3.7, the naturality and the compatibility are clear also in this sense.

3.4. Generating functions. Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1 in Introduction.

Proposition 3.9. *It holds that*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{1}_{X^n/S_n}^{(A)} z^n = \exp \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{j_r(A)}{r} z^r D^r(\mathbb{1}_X) \right).$$

Proof. A direct computation. For any standard $U = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{k} z^k D^k$, we see

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(U(\mathbb{1}_X)) &= \exp \left((a_1 z D + \frac{a_2}{2} z^2 D^2 + \cdots) \mathbb{1}_X \right) \\ &= \exp(a_1 z \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\Delta X}) \odot \exp \left(\frac{a_2}{2} z^2 \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\Delta X^2} \right) \odot \cdots \\ &= \left(\sum_{c_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_1^{c_1} z^{c_1}}{1^{c_1} c_1!} (\mathbb{1}_{\Delta X})^{c_1} \right) \odot \left(\sum_{c_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_2^{c_2} z^{2c_2}}{2^{c_2} c_2!} (\mathbb{1}_{\Delta X^2})^{c_2} \right) \odot \cdots \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n \sum_{|\mathbf{c}|=n} \frac{\sharp \mathbf{c}}{n!} \cdot (a_1 \mathbb{1}_{\Delta X})^{c_1} \odot \cdots \odot (a_n \mathbb{1}_{\Delta X^n})^{c_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $a_i = j_i(A)$, then Lemma 3.4 shows the assertion. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Apply C_*^{sym} to the both sides of this equality of constructible functions, then Proposition 3.7 (2) implies the theorem. $\square$

Example 3.10. The generating function for $\mathbb{1}_{X^n/S_n}^{(A \times \mathbb{Z})}$ is written as an exponential using the coefficients $u_d(A)$ instead of $j_r(A \times \mathbb{Z})$ (Remark 3.5). In case of $A = \mathbb{Z}^m$, (letting $j(m; k) = j_k(\mathbb{Z}^m)$ and $Z = zD$)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} j(m; k) \frac{Z^k}{k}(\alpha) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{r|k} j(m-1; r) r \right) \frac{Z^k}{k}(\alpha) \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j(m-1; r) \frac{Z^{rj}}{j}(\alpha) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \text{Log}(1 - Z^r) (-j(m-1; r) \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, according to our convention of the notation, Proposition 3.9 says

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{1}_{X^n/S_n}^{(m)} z^n = \prod_{r=1}^{\infty} (1 - z^r D^r)^{-j(m-1; r) \mathbb{1}_X},$$

and applying C_*^{sym} to this gives

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} C_*^{S_n}(\mathbb{1}_{X^n/S_n}^{(m)}) z^n = \prod_{r=1}^{\infty} (1 - z^r D^r)^{-j(m-1; r) C_*(X)}.$$

The formulae (2) and (3) in Introduction are the case of $m = 1, 2$, respectively. Let us see the 0-dimensional homology part or equivalently the integral $\int$. Then by Proposition 3.7 (1) (and also Remark 3.6 (2)) we recover Bryan-Fulman's formula [5]:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_m(X^n; S_n) z^n = \prod_{j_1, \dots, j_{m-1} \geq 1} (1 - z^{j_1 j_2 \dots j_{m-1}})^{-j_1^{m-2} j_2^{m-3} \dots j_{m-2} \chi(X)}.$$

Example 3.11. We note another typical cases, where $j_r(A)$ are easily counted.

- (1) $A = \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$, the cyclic group of order d :

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_*^{S_n}(\mathbb{1}_{X^n/S_n}^{(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})}) z^n = \exp \left(\sum_{r|d} \frac{1}{r} (zD)^r C_*(\mathbb{1}_X) \right).$$

- (2) $A = \mathbb{Z}_p$, the (additive) group of the p -adic integers (p a prime):

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_*^{S_n}(\mathbb{1}_{X^n/S_n}^{(\mathbb{Z}_p)}) z^n = \exp \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^k} (zD)^{p^k} C_*(\mathbb{1}_X) \right).$$

We may call the right-hand side “the Artin-Hesse exponential for the Chern class of X ”.

4. WREATH PRODUCTS

We discuss on a G -version of C_*^{sym} . Although the combinatorics looks much involved than the case of S_n , the construction is rather straightforward. Let G be a finite group and X a G -variety.

4.1. Action of wreath products. Let G^n be the direct product of n copies of G and its element is denoted by $\bar{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$. The direct product of groups $G^n \times S_n$ acts on X^n in an obvious way: $(\bar{g}, \sigma)$ sends $(x_1, \dots)$ to $\sigma(g_1.x_1, \dots) = (g_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}.x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots)$. The wreath product, denoted by G_n (or $G \sim S_n$), is defined as the semi-direct product of G^n and S_n with the multiplication $(\bar{h}, \sigma)(\bar{g}, \tau) := (\bar{h} \cdot \sigma(\bar{g}), \sigma\tau)$, where $\sigma(\bar{g}) := \bar{g} \circ \sigma^{-1} = (g_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots)$. The action of G_n on X^n is given as

$$(g_1, \dots, g_n, \sigma).(x_1, \dots, x_n) := (g_1.x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, g_n.x_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}).$$

A G_n -representation $\rho : A \rightarrow G_n$ is denoted by $(\bar{\rho}, \sigma)$ where $\bar{\rho}(a) \in G^n$, $\sigma(a) \in S_n$ for $a \in A$. We say that ρ is of type $\mathbf{c} = [c_1, \dots, c_n]$ if the second factor σ gives a S_n -representation of cycle type $\mathbf{c}$. For any $\mathbf{c}$ of weight n , we denote by $\text{Hom}(A, G_n; \mathbf{c})$ the set of G_n -representations of A of type $\mathbf{c}$.

4.2. Formal power series. At first we set

$$\mathcal{F}_{X, \text{sym}}^G[[z]] := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \mathcal{F}_{\text{inv}}^{G_n}(X^n), \quad H_{X, \text{sym}}^G[[z]] := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n H_{2*}^{G_n}(X^n).$$

It is easy to see that they become commutative and associative graded $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebras by mean of the multiplication

$$\alpha \odot_G \beta := \frac{1}{|G|^{m+n}(m+n)!} \sum_{(\bar{g}, \sigma) \in G_{m+n}} (\bar{g}, \sigma)_*(\alpha \times \beta)$$

for $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{inv}}^{G_m}(X^m)$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{inv}}^{G_n}(X^n)$ (as well the homology case). For a proper G -morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$, the pushforward $f_*^{G, \text{sym}}$ is immediately defined.

Theorem 4.1. $C_*^{G, \text{sym}} = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} C_*^{G_n} : \mathcal{F}_{X, \text{sym}}^G[[z]] \rightarrow H_{X, \text{sym}}^G[[z]]$ is a natural transformation. If the G -action on X is trivial, then $C_*^{G, \text{sym}}$ coincides with C_*^{sym} .

Proof. It is shown in the entirely same way as in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

□

4.3. Diagonal operators. The standard operator $D^n : \mathcal{F}_{\text{inv}}^G(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\text{inv}}^{G_n}(X^n)$ is defined by

$$D^n(\alpha) := \frac{1}{|G|^n n!} \sum_{(\bar{g}, \sigma) \in G_n} (\bar{g}, \sigma)_*(\Delta^n)_*(\alpha),$$

as well the homology case. If $G = \{e\}$, this D^n coincides with the previous one in 3.3. Obviously, $D^n(\alpha) = 1/|G|^n \cdot \sum_{\bar{g}} \bar{g}_*(\Delta^n)_*(\alpha)$, being also invariant under the action of the direct product $G^n \times S_n$.

Using the above D^n and $\odot_G$, formal diagonal operators are defined in the same way as before: $T = \sum T_n$, $T_n = \sum v_{\mathbf{c}} \mathcal{D}^{\mathbf{c}}$ (see Remark 3.8). These

formal operators T enjoy the same properties as in Proposition 3.7 (the proof is the same). In particular, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_{inv}^G(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[[z]] & \xrightarrow{C_*^G \otimes id} & H_*^G(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[[z]] \\ T \downarrow & & \downarrow T \\ \mathcal{F}_{X,sym}^G[[z]] & \xrightarrow{C_*^{G,sym}} & H_{X,sym}^G[[z]] \end{array} \quad (d)$$

Recall the definition of canonical constructible functions,

$$\mathbb{1}_{X^n/G_n}^{(A)} := \frac{1}{|G|^n n!} \sum_{\text{Hom}(A, G_n)} \mathbb{1}_{(X^n)^{\rho(A)}}.$$

We have the following formula and the proof is given in the next subsection:

Proposition 4.2. *Under the same assumption as in Theorem 1.2, it holds that*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{1}_{X^n/G_n}^{(A)} z^n = \exp \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{B \in \Omega_A(r)} \frac{1}{r} z^r D^r (\mathbb{1}_{X/G}^{(B)}) \right).$$

In case of $G = \{e\}$ $\mathbb{1}_{X/G}^{(B)} = \mathbb{1}_X$, so this is the same as Proposition 3.9.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 It immediately follows from Proposition 4.2 and the commutative diagram (d). $\square$

Remark 4.3. When $X = pt$, the above proposition recovers the enumerative formula

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\text{Hom}(A, G_n)|}{|G|^n n!} z^n = \exp \left(\sum_{B \in \Omega_A} \frac{|\text{Hom}(B, G)|}{|G| \cdot |A : B|} z^{|A:B|} \right)$$

which has been given by Müller [14] (Cor. 1, Ex. 4). If $G = \{e\}$, it is the classical Dey-Wohlfahrt formula [21].

Example 4.4. If $A = \mathbb{Z}^m$, then any subgroup B of finite index turns again a lattice of rank m ; therefore $\mathbb{1}_{X/G}^{(B)} = \mathbb{1}_{X/G}^{(m)}$. Thus it follows from Proposition 4.2 that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{1}_{X^n/G_n}^{(m)} z^n = \prod_{r=1}^{\infty} (1 - z^r D^r)^{-j(m-1;r)} \mathbb{1}_{X/G}^{(m)}.$$

Then $C_*^{G,sym}$ provides the Chern class formula; in particular, we recover Tamanoi's formula [19]:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_m(X^n; G_n) z^n = \prod_{r=1}^{\infty} (1 - z^r)^{-j(m-1;r)} \chi_m(X; G).$$

As a simple comparison, the direct product case essentially goes to Proposition 3.9 and the result differs from the above for $m \geq 2$:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{1}_{X^n/G^n \times S_n}^{(m)} z^n = \prod_{r=1}^{\infty} (1 - z^r D^r)^{-j(m-1;r)} \mathbb{1}_{X/G}^{(1)}.$$

4.4. Canonical functions. For our convenience, we put

$$\mathbb{1}_{X/G}^{(A;r)} := \sum_{B \in \Omega_A(r)} \mathbb{1}_{X/G}^{(B)} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_B \sum_{\nu} \mathbb{1}_{X^{\nu(B)}}$$

where ν runs all G -representations of B . Then we have

Lemma 4.5. *It holds that*

$$\mathbb{1}_{X^n/G_n}^{(A)} = \sum_{|\mathbf{c}|=n} \frac{\sharp \mathbf{c}}{n!} \left(D^1(\mathbb{1}_{X/G}^{(A;1)}) \right)^{c_1} \odot_G \cdots \odot_G \left(D^n(\mathbb{1}_{X/G}^{(A;n)}) \right)^{c_n}.$$

This lemma generalizes Lemma 3.4: By definition, if $G = \{e\}$, then

$$D^r(\mathbb{1}_{X/G}^{(A;r)}) = D^r(j_r(A) \cdot \mathbb{1}_X) = j_r(A) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\Delta X^r}.$$

Proof. Set $\Theta_r := \sum \mathbb{1}_{(X^r)^{\tau(A)}}$ taken over all $\tau \in \text{Hom}(A, G_r; [0, \dots, 0, 1])$, that is, G_r -representations with transitive permutations. It suffices to show that

$$\frac{1}{|G|^r} \Theta_r = (r-1)! \cdot D^r(\mathbb{1}_{X/G}^{(A;r)}) \quad (1')$$

$$\sum_{\text{Hom}(A, G_n; \mathbf{c})} \mathbb{1}_{(X^n)^{\rho(A)}} = \frac{n!}{\prod_{r=1}^n (r!)^{c_r} c_r!} \cdot (\Theta_1)^{c_1} \odot_G \cdots \odot_G (\Theta_n)^{c_n}. \quad (2')$$

The same proof as seen before (Lemma 3.4 (2)) works also for (2'). So we now show (1'). Since the both sides of (1') are G_r -invariant, it is enough to show that their values coincide at $\mathbf{x} = (x, \dots, x) \in \Delta X^r$. We denote the stabilizer group of x of the G -action by $H := \text{Stab}_G(x)$ and the G -orbit of x by $G.x$. The RHS of (1') at $\mathbf{x}$ is written as

$$(r-1)! \cdot D^r(\mathbb{1}_{X/G}^{(A;r)})(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{(r-1)! \cdot |H|^{r-1}}{|G|^r} \sum_B |\text{Hom}(B, H)|.$$

In fact, the number of $\bar{g} \in G^r$ so that $g_1.x = \dots = g_r.x$ is equal to $|H|^r \cdot |G.x|$, so

$$\begin{aligned} D^r(\mathbb{1}_{X/G}^{(A;r)})(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{1}{|G|^{r+1}} \sum_{B, \nu} \sum_{\bar{g}} \bar{g}_* (\mathbb{1}_{\Delta(X^{\nu(B)})_r})(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|^{r+1}} \cdot |H|^r \cdot |G.x| \cdot \sum_{B, \nu} \mathbb{1}_{X^{\nu(B)}}(x). \end{aligned}$$

On one hand, the LHS of (1') at $\mathbf{x}$ means

$$\frac{1}{|G|^r} \Theta_r(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{|G|^r} |\text{Hom}(A, H_r; [0, \dots, 0, 1])|,$$

where H_r is the wreath product $H \sim S_r$. Consider the following composed map

$$\text{Hom}(A, H_r; [0, \dots, 0, 1]) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, S_r; [0, \dots, 0, 1]) \rightarrow \Omega_A(r)$$

sending $(\bar{\rho}, \sigma) \mapsto \sigma \mapsto \text{Stab}_{\sigma}(1)$ (the stabilizer subgroup $\{a \in A, \sigma(a)(1) = 1\}$). As seen in the proof of Lemma 3.4, for each subgroup B with $|A|$

$|B| = r$, there are $(r-1)!$ choices of σ mapped to it. Now fix such a S_r -representation σ . We take $a_i \in A$ ($2 \leq i \leq r$) so that $\sigma(a_i)(1) = i$, and put $a_1 = e'$ and $g_1 = e$, the identities of A and H respectively. Then any $\rho = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r, \sigma) : A \rightarrow H_r$ (a lift of σ) is uniquely determined by a choice of a representation $\nu : B \rightarrow H$ and ordered $(r-1)$ elements $g_i \in H$ ($2 \leq i \leq r$). In fact, a simple computation shows that ρ_k ($1 \leq k \leq r$) has the following unique form with respect to σ and $\{a_i\}$: for $a \in A$, $\rho_k(a) = g_k \nu(a_k^{-1} a a_i) g_i^{-1} \in H$ where $i = \sigma(a)^{-1}(k)$. Hence

$$|\mathrm{Hom}(A, H_r; [0, \dots, 0, 1])| = \sum_B (r-1)! \cdot |H|^{r-1} \cdot |\mathrm{Hom}(B, H)|,$$

thus (1') holds. This completes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 4.2. It suffices to expand

$$\exp(-\mathrm{Log}(1-D)\varphi_A(z)) \text{ where } \varphi_A(z) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{1}_{X/G}^{(A;r)} z^r$$

like as the proof of Proposition 3.9 (see Remark 3.8). $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Aluffi. Modification systems and integration in their Chow groups. *Selecta Math.* 11 (2005) 155–202.
- [2] J. P. Brasselet, J. Shürmann and S. Yokura. Hirzebruch classes and motivic Chern classes for singular spaces. preprint, math.AG/0503492.
- [3] V. Batyrev. Non-archimedean integrals and stringy Euler numbers of log-terminal pairs. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* 1 (1999) 5–33.
- [4] S. Boissière. Chern classes of the tangent bundle on the Hilbert schemes of points on the affine plane. *Jour. Alg. Geom.* 14 (2005) 761–787.
- [5] J. Bryan and J. Fulman. Orbifold Euler characteristics and the number of commuting m -tuples in the symmetric groups. *Ann. Combinatorics* 2 (1998) 1–6.
- [6] D. Edidin and W. Graham. Equivariant intersection theory. *Invent. Math.* 131 (1998) 595–634.
- [7] T. de Fernex, E. Lupercio, T. Nevins, B. Uribe. Stringy Chern classes of singular varieties. math.AG/0407314, to appear in *Advances in Math.*
- [8] Bibliography F. Hirzebruch and T. Höfer. On the Euler number of an orbifold. *Math. Ann.* 286 (1990) 255–260.
- [9] G. Kennedy. MacPherson's Chern classes of singular algebraic varieties. *Comm. Algebra* 18 (1990) 2821–2839.
- [10] Bibliography M. Kwieciński. Formule du produit pour les classes caractéristiques de Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson et homologie d'intersection. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 314 (1992) 625–628.
- [11] M. Lehn. Chern classes of tautological sheaves on Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces. *Invent. Math.* 136 (1999) 157–207.
- [12] I. G. Macdonald. The Poincaré polynomial of a symmetric product. *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 58 (1962) 563–568.
- [13] R. MacPherson. Chern classes for singular algebraic varieties. *Annals of Math.* 100 (1974) 421–432.
- [14] T. Müller. Enumerating representations in finite wreath product. *Adv. Math.* 153 (2000) 118–154.
- [15] T. Ohmoto. Equivariant Chern classes of singular algebraic varieties with group actions. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* 140 (2006) 115–134.

- [16] M. H. Schwartz. Classes caractéristiques définies par une stratification d'une variété analytique complexe. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 260 (1965) 3262-3264, 3535-3537
- [17] J. Shürmann and S. Yokura. A survey on characteristic classes of singular spaces. preprint, math.AG/0511175.
- [18] R. Stanley. Enumerative Combinatorics, Vol. 2. (Cambridge Studies in Adv. Math., 62, Cambridge University Press, 1999).
- [19] H. Tamanoi. Generalized orbifold Euler characteristic of symmetric products and equivariant Morava K -Theory. Alg. Geom. Topology 1 (2001) 115-141.
- [20] B. Totaro. The Chow Ring of a Classifying Space. Proc. Symposia in Pure Math. 67 (1999) 249-281.
- [21] K. Wohlfahrt. Über einen Satz von Dey und die Modulgruppe. Arch. math. (Basel) 29 (1977) 455-457.
- [22] T. Yoshida. Classical Problems in Group Theory (I): Enumerating subgroups and homomorphisms. Sugaku Expositions, AMS, Vol. 9 2 (1996) 169-188.
- [23] T. Yoshida. Categorical Aspects of Generating Functions (I): Exponential Formulas and Krull-Schmidt Category. Jour. Algebra 240 (2001) 40-82.

(T. Ohmoto) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY, SAPPORO 060-0810, JAPAN

E-mail address: ohmoto@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp